

General Information

Title: Power Monitoring Expert (PME) Software Procurement and Sustainment

Document Type: Combined Synopsis/Solicitation

Solicitation Number: FA8051-26-Q-4005

Posted Date: 06/17/2026

Response Date: 07/17/2026

PSC Code: DA10 – IT and Telecom- Business Application/Application Development Support Services

Set-Aside: Competitive 8(a)

NAICS Code: 513210 – Software Publishers (\$47M Size Standard)

Contracting Office Address

Department of the Air Force, Air Force Materiel Command, Air Force Installation & Mission Support Center, Air Force Installation Contracting Center, 772 ESS/PKD 139 Barnes Avenue, Building 1120 Tyndall, AFB FL 32403

Description

Solicitation FA8051-26-Q-4005 is issued as a Request for Quotation (RFQ). This is a combined synopsis/solicitation for commercial items prepared in accordance with the format in RFO Subpart 12.202, as supplemented with additional information included in this notice.

The Government requires the sustainment of its existing Schneider Electric Power Monitoring Expert (PME) software suites, which are used for the Advanced Meter Reading System (AMRS) platform. The requirement includes software sustainment for 46 PME license suites currently deployed across multiple Air Force bases. This effort also includes the optional procurement and sustainment of up to 30 additional PME software license suites with sustainment over the life of the contract.

This is a brand name only requirement for Schneider Electric PME software and sustainment. This announcement constitutes the only solicitation; offers are being requested, and a written solicitation will not be issued. This procurement is a Competitive 8(a) Set-Aside. Offerors are responsible for monitoring this site for amendments.

This solicitation document and incorporated provisions and clauses are those in effect through the Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO) Circular [2026-01] and Defense Supplement Change Notice (DCN) [11/10/2025]. The provision at RFO 52.212-1, Instructions to Offerors – Commercial Products, and the clause at RFO 52.212-4, Contract Terms and Conditions—Commercial Products and Commercial Services, apply to this acquisition. All applicable clauses are located in the attached attachments.

Period of Performance: The base period shall be six (6) months, commencing on 14 September 2026 (allowing a 7-day transition prior to expiration of the current contract), followed by four (4) one-year option periods, if exercised.

Addenda to RFO 52.212-1:

- **Questions Due Date:** 06/29/2026, 10:00 AM, Central Time. Send questions via email to tucker.januchowski@us.af.mil and tommy.leos.2@us.af.mil
- **Electronic Proposal Due Date:** 07/17/2026 at 4:00 PM, Central Time. Submit to: tucker.januchowski@us.af.mil and tommy.leos.2@us.af.mil. If a vendor encounters a problem with submitting their quote, contact the Contract Specialist and Contracting Officer by the due date and time specified above.
- **Notice to Offerors:** The Government reserves the right to cancel this RFQ, either before or after the closing date. In the event the Government cancels this solicitation, the Government has no obligation to reimburse an offeror for any costs. Submitted offers shall be valid for 60 calendar days after the closing date of the RFQ.
- **Service Contract Act (SCA) Applicability:** This requirement includes software support services in addition to the procurement of commercial software licenses. Service Contract Act Wage Determination 2015-4559, Revision 32 (Bay County, Florida – IT Services) is applicable to this requirement and is included as (Attachment 5). The contractor shall ensure all service employees performing work under this contract are compensated in accordance with the prevailing wage rates and fringe benefits for the locality in which the work is performed. If any portion of the support services qualifies as professional services, the contractor must submit a compensation plan in accordance with RFO Part 22. Wage determinations are available at <https://sam.gov/content/wage-determinations>.

Offerors shall complete all applicable provisions provided in (Attachment 2), including RFO 52.222-52, Exemption from Application of the Service Contract Labor Standards to Contracts for Certain Services-Certification. All clauses and provisions may be found in full text at the following site: <https://www.acquisition.gov/far-overhaul/far-part-deviation-guide/far-overhaul-part-52>

Evaluation Factors and Page Limits:

- Technical: 10 pages
- Price: Attachment 3 - CLIN Spreadsheet
- Representations and Certifications: Attachment only

All submitted pages shall be single sided, typed, standard 8.5" x 11" pages. Type shall be no smaller than size 12 font.

Evaluation Factors Located at RFO 12.203(a)

Factor 1 - Technical Submission: The offeror must provide a technical narrative demonstrating the ability to meet all requirements of the attached Statement of Work (SOW), dated 29 May 26 CLEAN (Attachment 1). The narrative must address, at a minimum:

- **Software Sustainment and SLA Compliance:** The offeror's capability to provide the "AMRS Eco Structure Service Plan (ESP)" and meet the Service Level Agreement (SLA) requirements of a 15-minute average response time and a 2-day average resolution time.
- **License Management:** The offeror's process for tracking and managing all software license versions, modules, and activation IDs.
- **Deliverables:** Acknowledgement and agreement to provide all required deliverables, including Monthly Status Reports (MSRs) and software updates, as specified in the Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL), DD Form 1423 - CDRL A001 (Attachment 4).
- **SOW Compliance:** A statement confirming the offeror has read, understands, and agrees to comply with all terms of the SOW.

Past Performance: Past Performance will be evaluated.

Factor 2 – Past Performance: In conducting the Past Performance evaluation, the Government will obtain information from sources available to the Government, to include Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS). The Government will evaluate Past Performance as it relates to the requirements outlined in the SOW (attachment 1).

Adjectival Rating	Description
Acceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort, or the offeror's performance record is unknown. (See note below.)
Unacceptable	Based on the offeror's performance record, the Government does not have a reasonable expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

Note: In the case of an offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or is so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance. Therefore, the offeror shall be determined to have unknown (or "neutral") past performance. In the context of acceptability/unacceptability, a neutral rating shall be considered "acceptable."

Representations and Certifications: Offerors are required to complete all applicable representations and certifications found in the Clause Sheet (Attachment 2).

Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI): FED-ITC LLC and JBW Federal have been identified as Organizational Conflict of Interest and have been excluded from this requirement.

The Government has reviewed and approved mitigation measures for these OCIs. Offerors shall identify any additional conflicts of interest in their proposal.

Basis of Award

The following is an addenda to RFO 52.212-2 Evaluation-Commercial Items.

- The lowest price technically acceptable (LPTA) source selection process will be utilized. Award will be made to the offeror that is deemed technically acceptable and has the lowest total evaluated price. To be considered for award, the offeror must be rated "Acceptable" for the Technical factor. Among those offerors, award will be made to the offeror with the lowest reasonable price.

Factor 3 – Price: Adequate price competition is anticipated and may exist if two or more responsible Offerors, competing independently, submit priced offers that satisfy the Government's expressed requirements. Therefore, the Offeror's Total Evaluated Price (TEP) will be evaluated for price reasonableness. The TEP will be the only discriminator amongst Offerors for this factor. Price analysis shall be used to evaluate the price reasonableness of each Offeror's TEP.

The TEP will be based on the sum of the FFP quoted on the CLIN Structure Price Sheet (Attachment 3). If the CO determines that adequate price competition has not been obtained, or if only one quote is received, reasonableness shall be evaluated using other price analysis techniques per RFO 12.204.

Evaluation of Options: The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options, including any option to extend services under 52.217-8, to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the option prices are significantly unbalanced.

Attachments:

1. AMRS PME SOW 29 May 26 CLEAN
2. FA805126Q4005 Clause Sheet
3. CLIN Spreadsheet
4. DD Form 1423 - CDRL A001
5. WD - 15-4559
6. DAF COMPASS Provisions and Clauses
7. Redacted AMRS J&A

