



Broad Agency Announcement Information Processing Techniques Office

Information Processing Techniques Office Office-Wide

HR001126S0011

June 22, 2026

This publication constitutes a Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) as contemplated in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 6.102(d)(2) and 35.016 and 2 CFR § 200.203. Any resultant award negotiations will follow all pertinent law and regulation, and any negotiations and/or awards for procurement contracts will use procedures under FAR 15.4, Contract Pricing, as specified in the BAA.

CONTENTS

1. OVERVIEW INFORMATION

- **Federal Agency Name** – Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Information Processing Techniques Office (IPTO)
- **Funding Opportunity Title** – Information Processing Techniques Office Office-Wide
- **Announcement Type** – Initial Announcement
- **Funding Opportunity Number** – HR001126S0011
- **Assistance Listing Number:** Not applicable 12.910
- **Dates/Time - All Times are Eastern Time Zone (ET)**
 - Posting Date: June 22, 2026
 - Abstract Due Date: June 22, 2027 at 2:00 PM
 - Question Submittal Closed: June 22, 2027 at 2:00 PM
 - Proposal Due Date: ***By Invitation Only***, a due date will be provided in the Invitation to Proceed.
- **Anticipated Individual Awards** - Multiple awards are anticipated.
- **Types of instruments that may be awarded** – Procurement Contracts, Grants, Cooperative Agreements, Other Transaction (OT) for Prototype Agreements, and OT for Research Agreements.
- **Cost Sharing Requirements:** In accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 4022, cost sharing may be required for OT for Prototype or OT for Research Agreements
- **NAICS Code:** 541715
- **Questions and Answers (Q&As):** All administrative, technical, and award questions should be emailed to the Agency Point of Contact (POC) stated below. All questions must be written in English.
- **Agency Contact**

The BAA Coordinator for this effort may be reached at: HR001126S0011@darpa.mil
DARPA/ IPTO
ATTN: HR001126S0011
675 North Randolph Street
Arlington, VA 22203-2114
- **Attachments to this Broad Agency Announcement (BAA):**
 - IPTO Office Wide Abstract Template [Required]
 - IPTO Office Wide Proposal Templates Zip file
 - Common Disclosures Forms Zip file
 - Classified Submission Requirements, Instructions, and Procedures

2. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

The Information Processing Techniques Office (IPTO) creates technological surprise through groundbreaking information science, technology development, and the delivery of fundamentally new information capabilities for national security. The office's current portfolio is centered on three primary thrust areas:

AI Innovation and Execution

We envision vastly more energy-efficient and assured artificial intelligence (AI) systems to advance asymmetric capabilities at the edge of the network and to provide trustworthy, understandable AI to warfighters where and when they need it. We also seek to mitigate risks of misalignment of machine actions and human interests.

Inherent Security and Privacy

We seek to create a new generation of systems that are inherently secure and inherently private, together with security and privacy tools that are more effective and more adaptable – both in the moving-target and reactive senses – than current solutions. We aim to develop more trustworthy and resilient systems based on the fundamental principles of the cyber networking, computing, and storage environment, and to create an asymmetric advantage in cyberspace.

Resilient Megasystems

We aim to develop machine-derived abstractions and models that expose the governing principles of highly complex and interconnected systems – like supply chains and power grids – to identify hidden systemic risks and emergent properties, and to enable the precise targeting of interventions and the proactive assurance of mission-critical functions.

IPTO may also consider submissions outside these thrust areas if the proposal involves the development of novel capabilities having a promise to provide decisive information or computational advantage for the United States and its allies. IPTO seeks unconventional approaches that are outside the mainstream, challenge-accepted assumptions, and have the potential to change established practices radically. Proposed research should enable revolutionary advances in science, technology, or systems. Specifically excluded is research that primarily results in evolutionary improvements to the existing state of the art.

IPTO collaborates with other DARPA technical offices, in some cases acting as the recipient of significant emerging technologies and, in other cases, serving as a catalyst by identifying relevant new external technology trends and capabilities. Novel methods are sought to build technical communities and tap into sources of innovation both inside and outside traditional Department of War (DoW) performer communities. However, proposers may not propose work (1) they have already completed, nor (2) for which they have already received funding or a positive funding decision from DARPA or another Government agency. IPTO encourages efforts that are creative and agile both in terms of the technologies proposed and in the structure of the approach (e.g., shorter periods of performance).

The DoW is focused on delivering resilient software capability at the speed of relevance. Resilience implies software that is high-quality, secure, and able to withstand and recover in the face of challenging conditions. See “Department of Defense Software Modernization Strategy” November 2021, p. 2. DARPA’s preferred method to achieve this goal is the use of formal methods. “Formal methods” is an umbrella term for a range of mathematically rigorous techniques for producing software along with machine-checkable evidence that the software does what it is supposed to do and, perhaps more importantly, does not do what it is not supposed to do. Used judiciously, formal methods can eliminate virtually all exploitable vulnerabilities in software.

3. SECURITY

3.1 CLASSIFIED WORK

Proposed work could potentially involve access to or the generation of classified information. Proposals that anticipate classified work will include a security plan. The security plan will detail how the team's security capabilities will enable the technical plan (facilities, key personnel clearances, networks, security personnel assigned to support), as well as identify possible security challenges and proposed solution(s) unique to the proposer team and technical plan. Common program security requirements and challenges include but are not limited to: classified information technology (IT) systems, classified facility construction and accreditation, operational security (OPSEC), Intelligence Oversight (IO), contracting/sub-contracting, foreign participation or materials utilization, range utilization and support plans (air, sea, land, space, and cyber), data dissemination, asset transportation, classified test activity, and classified material/asset disposition.

3.2. UNCLASSIFIED SUBMISSIONS

Unclassified submissions must be submitted through the DARPA Broad Agency Announcement Tool (BAAT) or Grants.gov. Please visit [Proposer Instructions: General Terms and Conditions](#) for specific information regarding submission methods through BAAT.

Please note, BAAT is a method of secure transmission for Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) documentation. Submissions sent through other mediums, channels, or after the prescribed deadline will not be accepted.

Submissions requesting Grants or Cooperative Agreements may be submitted through Grants.gov.

3.3. CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION (CUI)

Proposal or abstract materials containing CUI shall only be processed, stored and transmitted on information systems that meet Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC) Level 2 requirements as described in Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) clause 252.204-7021.

If an unclassified submission contains CUI or the suspicion of such, as defined by Executive Order 13556 and 32 CFR Part 2002, the information must be appropriately and conspicuously marked CUI in accordance with DoDI 5200.48. Additionally, proposers shall adhere to all CUI safeguarding requirements enumerated here: <https://www.darpa.mil/about/offices/contracts-management/proposer-general-terms#cu>

DARPA anticipates that proposals submitted in response to this BAA may generate or involve access to classified information. Classified submissions must **NOT** be submitted through BAAT or Grants.gov. Prior to generating any information that is or is suspected to be classified, contact IPTOsecurity@darpa.mil for specific instructions.

Proposers considering generating a proposal or abstract that may contain classified information must first be issued a solicitation Contract Security Classification Specification, DD form 254 specifically for HR001126S0011 by DARPA. The generation of classified material for this solicitation is not authorized until a solicitation DD-254 for HR001126S0011 is issued by DARPA.

For classified proposals or abstracts, proposers will ensure all industrial, personnel, and information systems processing security requirements are in place and at the appropriate level (e.g., Facility Clearance Level (FCL), Automated Information Security (AIS), Certification and Accreditation (C&A), and any Foreign Ownership Control and Influence (FOCI) issues are mitigated prior to submission. Additional information on these subjects can be found at <https://www.dcsa.mil/>.

3.4 CYBERSECURITY MATURITY MODEL CERTIFICATION (CMMC) REQUIREMENTS

Applicable to awards under this BAA that will result in procurement contracts.

- a. Awards resulting from this BAA that take the form of procurement contracts are subject to the CMMC requirements prescribed in 32 CFR Part 170 and DFARS 252.204-7021, Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification Requirements.
- b. CMMC Level 1 certification is required at proposal submission. The Government will designate the required CMMC level (1, 2, or 3) in each resulting contract based on the sensitivity of the information involved—Federal Contract Information (FCI) or CUI. Additional details will be provided to proposers who receive an invitation to proceed to full proposal submission.
- c. Proposers must demonstrate compliance with the applicable CMMC level at the time of contract award and maintain that level for the duration of contract performance.
- d. CMMC requirements must be flowed down to all subcontractors whose performance involves processing, storing, or transmitting FCI or CUI on unclassified contractor or university systems.

3.5 DARPA FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH RISK-BASED SECURITY (FRRBS)

3.5.1 DARPA FRRBS Review

DARPA's Fundamental Research Risk-Based Security Review Process (formerly Countering Foreign Influence Program (CFIP)) is an adaptive risk management security program designed to help protect the critical technology and performer intellectual property associated with DARPA's research projects by identifying the possible vectors of undue foreign influence. DARPA will create risk assessments of all proposed senior/key personnel selected for negotiation of a fundamental research grant, cooperative agreement or OT Agreement award. The DARPA risk assessment process will be conducted separately from the DARPA scientific review process and adjudicated prior to final award. For additional information on this process, please visit [Proposer Instructions: Grants/Cooperative Agreements](#).

Further, it is DoW policy that the publication of products of fundamental research will remain unrestricted to the maximum extent possible. National Security Decision Directive (NSDD) 189 defines fundamental research as follows:

'Fundamental research' means basic and applied research in science and engineering, the results of which ordinarily are published and shared broadly within the scientific community, as distinguished from proprietary research and from industrial development, design, production, and product utilization, the results of which ordinarily are restricted for proprietary or national security reasons.

Proposers should indicate in their proposal whether they believe the scope of the research included in their proposal is fundamental or not. While proposers should clearly explain the intended results of their research, the Government shall have sole discretion to determine whether the proposed research shall be considered fundamental research, to select the award instrument type, regardless of the instrument type proposed, and to negotiate all instrument terms and conditions with selectees. Appropriate language will be included in resultant awards for non-fundamental research to prescribe publication requirements and other restrictions, as applicable. For additional information, please see - <https://www.darpa.mil/about/offices/contracts-management/proposer-transactions>.

All proposal submissions proposing fundamental research that are requesting a Grant, Cooperative Agreement, or OT Agreement ***must*** complete the FRRBS forms found in the proposal package as part of their proposal submission.

3.5.2. DARPA FRRBS Required Documents

As part of the proposal response to this solicitation proposers requesting a Grant, Cooperative Agreement, or OT Agreement must provide to the Government the forms listed below for all key personnel identified in the proposal:

- Common Form (CF) for Biographical Sketch
- CF for Current and Pending (Other) Support

Instructions for how to fill out the Common Disclosures Forms can be found through [NSF.gov](https://www.nsf.gov).

4. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

This solicitation allows for multiple award instrument types to be awarded, including Procurement Contracts, Grants, Cooperative Agreements, and OT Agreements. Some award instrument types have specific cost-sharing requirements. The following websites are incorporated by reference and contain additional information and model agreements and contracts regarding overall proposer instructions, general terms and conditions, and each specific award instrument type.

Proposers are ***strongly encouraged*** to review the following links as they are part of this solicitation:

- **Proposer Instructions: General Terms and Conditions:**
<https://www.darpa.mil/about/offices/contracts-management/proposer-general-terms>
- **Procurement Contracts:** <https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/procurement-contracts>

- **Assistance (Grants and Cooperative Agreements):** <https://www.darpa.mil/about/offices/contracts-management/grants-agreements>
- **OT Agreements:** <https://www.darpa.mil/about/offices/contracts-management/proposer-transactions>

Submissions that are not applicable to HR001126S0011, as defined below, will be deemed non-conforming and removed from consideration. All submissions must provide sufficient information to assess the validity/feasibility of their claims as well as comply with the requirements outlined herein for submission formatting, content, and transmission to DARPA. Submissions that fail to do so may be deemed non-conforming and removed from consideration.

For the purposes of this solicitation, only submissions deemed conforming and relevant will be evaluated against the stated criteria.. Conformance and relevance require that submissions encompass:

- One or more of the IPTO thrust areas and/or propose revolutionary advances in information or computational science, technology, or systems.
- A technical approach demonstrating scientific/technological originality, depth of mission understanding, and operational realism.
- The overall goal of the proposed effort, if achieved, would create technology significantly beyond the state-of-the-art, and whether the timetable for achieving results is appropriate for a mission agency such as DARPA.
- The cost, scale, and scope of work are commensurate with IPTO resources and priorities.

5. ABSTRACTS (REQUIRED)

Potential proposers are ***strongly encouraged*** to reach out to DARPA Program Managers (PM) to gauge their interest in a technical approach prior to submitting a formal abstract. Early coordination ensures alignment with IPTO mission priorities and minimizes the expenditure of resources on concepts that may not meet current technical or strategic office requirements.

Proposers are ***required*** to submit an abstract, in advance of a full proposal submission, to minimize effort and reduce the potential expense of preparing an out-of-scope proposal. Proposals are by invitation *only*.

5.1 ABSTRACT SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Abstracts are due by the date and time stated in Section 1 (Overview Information)

Abstracts must be submitted to the DARPA Broad Agency Announcement Tool (BAAT). Please visit [Proposer Instructions and General Terms and Conditions](#) for specific information regarding submission methods through BAAT. Submissions sent through other mediums, channels, or after the prescribed deadline will not be accepted.

Proposers are responsible for identifying proprietary information. Submissions containing proprietary information must have the cover page and each page containing such information clearly marked with a label such as "Proprietary" or "Company Proprietary."

NOTE: "Confidential" is a classification marking used to control the dissemination of U.S. Government National Security Information as dictated in Executive Order 13526 and should not be used to identify proprietary business information.

5.2 ABSTRACT CONTENT AND FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

Instructions for abstract submissions including content and formatting requirements, are contained within the **required** IPTO Office Wide Abstract Template. Failure to comply with all stated content and formatting requirements may result in the abstract submission being deemed non-conforming. Information not explicitly requested will not be reviewed.

5.3 ABSTRACT BASIS OF EVALUATION

The Government will review abstract submissions using a two-step process. Step 1 will consist of a strict Mandatory Technical Conformance review. Only submissions found conforming at Step 1 will advance to Step 2 for a comprehensive evaluation, where submissions will be evaluated using the following criteria listed in **descending order of importance**: Technical Comprehension, Technical Ability, and Cost Considerations.

Step 1: Mandatory Technical Conformance: The Government will evaluate the Technical Approach for scientific/technological originality, depth of mission understanding, and operational realism. Abstracts that present generic, boilerplate, or broadly aggregated content lacking mission-specific synthesis, will be found non-conforming.

Step 2: Comprehensive Evaluation of Abstract:

- **Technical Comprehension:** The proposed technical approach is innovative, feasible, and operationally useful. Key technical challenges and risks are identified.
- **Technical Ability:** The proposer demonstrates an ability to achieve the goals of the program.
- **Cost Considerations:** The proposed cost estimate is realistic, proportional to the scope of work and fits within the government's programmatic funding constraints.

5.4 ABSTRACT FEEDBACK

DARPA anticipates sending notification letters via email to all designated Technical and Administrative POC(s) for abstract submissions. Each letter will communicate one of three outcomes:

Non-Conforming: Proposers will be informed that their abstract was found non-conforming and was not reviewed in Step 2.

Invited to Proceed: Proposers will be informed that DARPA is interested in the proposed concept and is inviting the submission of a full proposal. The Government will include feedback on the abstract's evaluation.

Non-Selection: Proposers will be informed that their abstract was not selected for advancement, and they will not be permitted to submit a full proposal. The Government will include feedback on the abstract's evaluation.

6. PROPOSALS

6.1 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Full proposals are accepted *by invitation only* and as stated in Section 1. A full proposal submission ***must*** include the following:

- IPTO OW Volume I (Technical and Management)
- IPTO OW Volume II (Cost)
- IPTO OW Proposal Summary Slide

The DARPA Standard Cost Spreadsheet is ***strongly encouraged*** but not required as part of a full proposal submission. However, if a proposer elects to use an alternative cost format, the burden is entirely on the proposer to ensure the format provides the necessary fidelity, detail, and formula transparency required for a comprehensive Government evaluation.

6.2 PROPOSAL CONTENT AND FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

Instructions for proposal submissions, including content and formatting requirements, are contained within the ***required*** IPTO Office Wide Proposal Templates zip file. Failure to comply with all stated content and formatting requirements may result in the abstract submission being deemed non-conforming. Information not explicitly requested will not be reviewed.

6.3 MODEL CONTRACTS AND MODEL OT AGREEMENTS (PROPOSAL SUBMISSION ONLY)

The Government strongly encourages proposers to make redlined edits (track changes are sufficient) to the appropriate Model Cooperative Agreement, Model Contract, or Model OT Agreement found above in Section 4 that the proposer's organization would like to negotiate if selected for award negotiations. Redline edits should be accompanied by a comment box explaining the context for the requested change. Proposers should submit the edited Model Cooperative Agreement, Model Contract, or Model OT Agreement with their proposal submission.

6.4 COMMON DISCLOSURE FORMS FOR FRRBS REVIEW (PROPOSAL SUBMISSION ONLY)

As stated in Section 3.4, all submissions proposing fundamental research that are requesting a Grant, Cooperative Agreement, or OT Agreement should complete and return the common forms found in the proposal package as part of their proposal submission. Proposal submissions that require the common form submission but failed to provide the common forms may not be reviewed.

7. PROPOSAL BASIS OF EVALUATION

The Government will review submissions using a two-step process. Step 1 will consist of a strict Mandatory Technical Conformance review. Only submissions found conforming at Step 1 will advance to Step 2 for a comprehensive evaluation, where Proposals will be evaluated using

the following criteria listed in **descending order of importance**. Overall Scientific and Technical Merit; Potential Contribution and Relevance to the DARPA Mission; Plans to Implement Resilient Software; and Cost Realism.

Step 1: Mandatory Technical Conformance:

Technical Approach Originality: The Government will evaluate the Technical Approach for scientific/technological originality, depth of mission understanding, and operational realism. Proposals that present generic, boilerplate, or broadly aggregated content lacking mission-specific synthesis, will be found non-conforming.

Step 2: Comprehensive Evaluation of Proposal

- **Overall Scientific and Technical Merit:** The proposed technical approach is innovative, feasible, achievable, and complete. The proposed technical team has the expertise and experience to accomplish the proposed tasks. Key personnel proposed are proposed at a level of effort that will be meaningful and impactful to the proposed research. The proposal identifies major technical risks and planned mitigation efforts are clearly defined and feasible.
- **Potential Contribution and Relevance to the DARPA Mission:** The potential contributions of the proposed effort bolster the national security technology base and support DARPA's mission to make pivotal early technology investments that create or prevent technological surprise.
- **Plans to Implement Resilient Software:** The proposal clearly demonstrates the capability to design, implement, and deliver resilient software capabilities within its technical approach. The proposed software implementation approach is feasible and achievable. The approach ensures interoperability, security, and the ability to meet mission objectives at the DoW, DARPA, and program levels. When appropriate, the approach uses formal methods to work towards the goal of eliminating virtually all software vulnerabilities.
- **Cost Realism:** The proposed costs are realistic for the technical and management approach and accurately reflect the technical goals and objectives of the solicitation. The proposed costs are consistent with the proposer's Statement of Work and reflect a sufficient understanding of the costs and level of effort needed to successfully accomplish the proposed technical approach. The costs for the prime proposer and proposed sub-awardees are substantiated by the details provided in the proposal (e.g., the type and number of labor hours proposed per task, the types and quantities of materials, equipment and fabrication costs, travel and any other applicable costs and the basis for the estimates). It is expected that the effort will leverage all available relevant prior research in order to obtain the maximum benefit from the available funding.

Unless otherwise specified in this announcement, for additional information on how DARPA reviews and evaluates proposals through the Scientific Review Process, please visit: [Proposer Instructions: General Terms and Conditions](#).

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- This announcement, stated attachments, and websites incorporated by reference constitute the entire solicitation. In the event of a discrepancy between the announcement, attachments, or websites, the announcement takes precedence.
- All responsible sources capable of satisfying the Government's needs, including both U.S. and non-U.S. sources, may submit a proposal that shall be considered by DARPA. Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Small Businesses, Small Disadvantaged Businesses and Minority Institutions are encouraged to submit proposals and join others in submitting proposals; however, no portion of this announcement will be set aside for these organizations' participation due to the impracticality of reserving discrete or severable areas of this research for exclusive competition among these entities. Non-U.S. organizations and/or individuals may participate to the extent that such participants comply with any necessary nondisclosure agreements, security regulations, export control laws, and other governing statutes applicable under the circumstances.
- DARPA encourages technical solutions from all responsible sources capable of satisfying the government's needs. To ensure fair competition across the ecosystem, DARPA prohibits contractors/performers from concurrently providing Systems Engineering Technical Assistance (SETA), Advisory and Assistance Services (A&AS), or similar support services and being a technical performer, unless the DARPA Deputy Director grants a written waiver.
- DARPA encourages technical solutions from all responsible sources capable of satisfying the government's needs. To ensure fair competition across the ecosystem, DARPA prohibits performers from concurrently providing Systems Engineering Technical Assistance (SETA), Advisory and Assistance Services (A&AS), or similar support services and being a technical performer, unless a waiver is granted. DARPA extends this eligibility criteria to Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), University-Affiliated Research Centers (UARCs), and Government Entities including national laboratories, who, as a result of their specialized expertise and areas of competencies, are able to accomplish integral tasks that cannot be met by government or contractor resources.

Due to their specialized roles and longstanding regulatory relationships with the government, FFRDCs, UARCs, and Government Entities including national laboratories present potential conflicts and advantages that could compromise fair and open competition. If an FFRDC, UARC, or Government Entity believes they offer unique and innovative approaches to this solicitation and are interested in this solicitation, either as a prime or a subcontractor, they must adhere to the unique instructions outlined in the following sections. If scientifically merited, DARPA may fund work proposed by these entities with the following caveats:

FFRDCs submission

FFRDCs (1) must clearly demonstrate that the proposed work is not otherwise available from the private sector; and (2) must provide a letter, on official letterhead from their sponsoring organization, that (a) cites the specific authority establishing the FFRDC eligibility to propose to government solicitations and compete with industry, and (b) certifies the FFRDC's compliance with the associated FFRDC sponsor agreement's terms and conditions.

DARPA, under this solicitation, will not award separate agreements to FFRDCs as prime or subawardees but will instead leverage their existing sponsor contracts or agreements. These conditions are a requirement for FFRDCs proposing to be awardees or subawardees. *This eligibility documentation is required to be submitted at time of Abstract submission. Abstracts submitted without this complete documentation, or where eligibility is not clearly established, will be deemed non-conforming and may not be evaluated.*

Government Entities submission

Government Entities (e.g., government/national laboratories, military educational institutions, etc.) are subject to applicable direct competition limitations. Government Entities must clearly demonstrate that the work is not otherwise available from the private sector and provide written documentation citing the specific statutory authority and contractual authority, if relevant, establishing their ability to propose to government solicitations and compete with industry. This information is required for Government Entities proposing to be awardees or subawardees. *This eligibility documentation is required to be submitted at time of Abstract submission. Abstracts submitted without this complete documentation, or where eligibility is not clearly established, will be deemed non-conforming and may not be evaluated.*

UARC submission

While UARCs typically have statutory authority to compete with industry, DARPA Programs often include them as trusted advisors, thus making UARCs eligible to act as performers only in fields and scenarios where they do not serve in an advisory role to the government. Even in those situations, DARPA recognizes the potential for UARCs to have organizational conflicts of interest (OCI) when applying for a performer role. Abstracts with UARCs as prime or subawardees must include an OCI mitigation plan. *This eligibility documentation is required to be submitted at time of Abstract submission. Abstracts submitted without this complete documentation, or where eligibility is not clearly established, will be deemed non-conforming and may not be evaluated.*

Authority and Eligibility

At the present time, DARPA does not consider 15 U.S.C. § 3710a to be sufficient legal authority to show eligibility. While 10 U.S.C. § 4892 may be the appropriate statutory starting point for some entities, specific supporting regulatory guidance, together with evidence of agency approval, will still be required to fully establish eligibility. **DARPA will assess and determine FFRDC, Government Entity, and UARC eligibility on a case-by-case basis during the Abstract evaluation phase. Proposers must successfully establish eligibility at the Abstract stage to be invited to submit a Full Proposal. The burden to prove eligibility for all team members rests solely with the proposer.**

Please note: These entities typically may only receive funding through existing awards they hold with their sponsoring agencies. If these entities are proposed as subawardees, their costs must be clearly segregable in the Prime's price proposal. *DARPA will not establish new contractual agreements for their participation in any capacity.* It is important to note that if

funded, these organizations will be required to share their work and findings with other performers also supporting the same program. Additionally, DARPA may contact these entities directly to discuss proposed activities.

- As of the date of publication of this solicitation, the Government cannot identify whether the work under this solicitation may be considered fundamental research and may award both fundamental and non-fundamental research. For additional information on fundamental research, please visit [Proposer Instructions: General Terms and Conditions](#).
- All proposers must have an active [SAM.gov](#) Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) with the appropriate “Registration Type”.
- Per Section 8123 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 (Pub. L. 113-235), all grant awards must be posted on a public website in a searchable format. To comply with this requirement, proposers requesting grant awards must submit a maximum one (1) page abstract that may be publicly posted and explains the program or project to the public. The proposer should sign the bottom of the abstract confirming the information in the abstract is approved for public release. Proposers are advised to provide both a signed PDF copy, as well as an editable (e.g., Microsoft word) copy. Abstracts contained in grant proposals that are not selected for award will not be publicly posted.
- Proposals could potentially include Human Subjects Research (HSR) and/or Animal Use. Proposers that anticipate involving human subjects or animals in the proposed research must comply with the approval procedures detailed at <https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/humanresearch> to include providing the information specified therein as required for proposal submission.
- Periodically, DARPA may endeavor to hold “Pitch Day(s).” A “Pitch Day” entails DARPA soliciting proposals for a specific, targeted aspect under one or more of the BAA's thrust areas. Proposers ultimately would pitch their proposals to DARPA via oral presentations. Any "Pitch Day" established under this BAA would be advertised via a separate Special Notice announcement linked to this BAA and posted on www.sam.gov and will include specific instructions and evaluation criteria.
- The APEX Accelerators program, formerly known as the Procurement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP), focuses on building strong, sustainable, and resilient U.S. supply chains by assisting a wide range of businesses that pursue and perform under contracts with the DoW, other federal agencies, state and local governments, and government prime contractors. See www.apexaccelerators.us/ for more information.

APEX Accelerators helps businesses:

- Complete registration with a wide range of databases necessary for them to participate in the government marketplace (e.g., SAM).
- Identify which agencies and offices may need their products or services and how to connect with buying agencies and offices.
- Determine whether they are ready for government opportunities and how to position themselves to succeed.

- Navigate solicitations and potential funding opportunities.
 - Receive notifications of government contract opportunities on a regular basis.
 - Network with buying officers, prime contractors, and other businesses.
 - Resolve performance issues and prepare for audit, only if the service is needed, after receiving an award.
- Project Spectrum is a nonprofit effort funded by the DoW Office of Small Business Programs to help educate the Defense Industrial Base (DIB) on compliance. Project Spectrum is vendor-neutral and available to assist businesses with their cybersecurity and compliance needs. Their mission is to improve cybersecurity readiness, resilience, and compliance for small/medium-sized businesses and the federal manufacturing supply chain. Project Spectrum events and programs will enhance awareness of cybersecurity threats within the manufacturing, research and development, and knowledge-based services sectors of the industrial base. Project Spectrum will leverage strategic partnerships within and outside of the DoW to accelerate the overall cybersecurity compliance of the DIB. www.projectspectrum.io is a web portal that will provide resources such as individualized dashboards, a marketplace, and Pilot Program to help accelerate cybersecurity compliance.
 - DARPAConnect offers free resources to potential performers to help them navigate DARPA, including “Understanding DARPA Award Vehicles and Solicitations”, “Making the Most of Proposers Days”, and “Tips for DARPA Proposal Success”. Join DARPAConnect at www.DARPAConnect.us to leverage on-demand learning and networking resources.
 - DARPA has streamlined our Broad Agency Announcements and is interested in your feedback on this new format. Please send any comments to DARPA solicitations@darpa.mil.